



On S_w^* - Regular Spaces

Laila S. Abdullah¹

1 College of Computer and Information Technology, University of Garmian. Kurdistan Region, Iraq

*Corresponding author email: laila.saadallah@garmian.edu.krd

S_w^* - حول الفضاءات المنتظمة من النمط

ليلي سعدالله عبدالله

كلية الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات، جامعة كرميان

العراق، laila.saadallah@garmian.edu.krd، إقليم كردستان، العراق

Received:

15 /2 /2022

Accepted:

23 /5 /2022

Published:

30/ 6 /2022

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to present and investigate a new class of topological spaces known as S_w^* -regular spaces, by utilizing the concept of S_w -regular sets and some of its properties. which is introduced in 2009 by L. S. Abdullhah and A. B. Khalaf [1], the new class is properly contained in S^* - regular space [2], [3], means that S_w^* - regular spaces is a stronger form to the space S^* - regular. Several characterizations, properties and relationships of S_w^* - regular space with other spaces such as, S_w -compact, extremally disconnected, regular, semi-regular, S_w - T_2 and Urysohn spaces has been studied. Furthermore, several properties of S_w^* - regular spaces with some functions such as, continuous, strongly continuous, open, clopen and Somewhat open functions are also explored. In addition we investigate that S_w^* - regular space has a topological property, while it has not a hereditary property, only by adding certain conditions such as, a subspace is open or, if the subspace of an S_w^* -regular maximal space is preopen, then it becomes an S_w^* - regular.

Key words:

S_w -regular set, S_w -open set, S^* -regular space, Semi-regular space and Somewhat open function.

الخلاصة

الهدف من هذا البحث هو تقديم ودراسة فئة جديدة من الفضاءات التوبولوجية والتي اسميناها بالفضاءات المنتظمة من النمط S_w^* - باستخدام مفهوم المجموعة المنتظمة S_w وبعض خصائصها والتي قدمت من قبل L. S. Abdullhah, A. B. Khalaf [1] في عام 2009، حيث ان هذا الفضاء هو فضاء جزئي من الفضاء المنتظم S^* - [2], [3]. اي ان الفضاء المنتظم S_w^* - تكون اقوى من الفضاء المنتظم S^* - . تمت دراسة العديد من خصائص هذا الفضاء وعلاقة الفضاء المنتظم S_w^* - مع الفضاءات الاخرى كالفضاءات المتراسة S_w ، غير متصل للغاية، المنتظمة، شبه المنتظمة، S_w - T_2 و يوريسون. علاوة على ذلك تم دراسة العديد من الصفات للفضاء المنتظم S_w^* - مع بعض الدوال كالدوال المستمرة، المستمرة بقوة، الدوال المفتوحة، الدوال المفتوحة المغلقة والدوال المفتوحة إلى حد ما. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، قمنا بالتحقق من ان الفضاء المنتظم S_w^* - لها خاصية تبولوجية، في حين أنها لا تمتلك الخاصية الوراثية، الا باضافة شروط معينة كأن يكون الفضاء الجزئي مفتوح أو إذا كان الفضاء الجزئي من الفضاء المنتظم S_w^* - دون الحد الأقصى مفتوح قبلا وعندها يصبح الفضاء الجزئي فضاء منتظم من النمط S_w^* - .

الكلمات المفتاحية: المجموعة المنتظمة S_w ، المجموعة المفتوحة S_w ، الفضاء المنتظم S^* ، الفضاء شبه المنتظم والدالة المفتوحة

الى حد ما..



INTRODUCTION

Topological space, unless otherwise stated, no separation axioms are assumed. Assume that $H \subseteq X$, “the closure and interior of H , denoted by $Cl(H)$ and $Int(H)$ ”, while, “ $S_w-Cl(H)$ and $S_w-Int(H)$ denotes the S_w -closure and S_w -interior of H respectively”. In 2009 L. S. Abdullhah and A. B. Khalaf defined S_w -regular space by using the notion of S_w -open sets, while in this paper S_w^* -regular space is defined by using the concept of S_w -regular set.

A subset H of a space (X, τ) is called “semi-open [4] (resp., nearly open[5], or preopen[6], and α -open [7]) set if $H \subseteq Cl(Int(H))$ (resp., $H \subseteq Int(Cl(H))$ and $H = Int(Cl(Int(H)))$). The complement of a semi-open (resp., preopen and α -open) set is called semi-closed [8] (resp., preclosed [5] and α -closed [8]”. We established several characterizations and some properties of S_w^* -regular space. Also we investi2. Preliminaries

Recall some basic definitions and results which will be used in the next section.

Definition 2.1[9]: A subset H of a space X is said to be semi-regular if it is both semi-open and semi-closed.

Definition 2.2 [1]: Let (X, τ) be a topological space, and let $H \subseteq X$, then H together with the empty set is called an S_w -open set if $Int(H) \neq \emptyset$. An S_w -closed set is the complement of a S_w -open set.

Definition 2.3 [1]: If a subset H of a space X is both an S_w -open and an S_w -closed set, then it is called S_w -regular.

Theorem 2.4 [1]: Let (X, τ) be any topological space; then the family of all S_w -open sets in (X, τ) is identical to the family of all S_w -open sets in $(X, \tau\alpha)$. That is, $S_wO(X, \tau) = S_wO(X, \tau\alpha)$.

Proposition 2.5 [1]: If Y is a subspace of a space X , and if H is a subset in Y and H is an S_w -open set in X , then H is S_w -open in Y . If Y is open in X , the converse is also true.

Lemma 2.6 [1]: If $H \subseteq Y \subseteq X$, then H is a S_w -closed set in X if H is a proper S_w -closed set of a subspace Y .

Lemma 2.7 [1]: Every super set of an S_w -open set is S_w -open.

Definition 2.8 [1]: If there are two disjoint S_w -open sets U and V of X such that (briefly s.t.) $x \in U$ and $y \in V$, a space (X, τ) is called a S_w - T_2 space.

Theorem 2.9 [1]: A topological space X is S_w - T_2 , iff there is an S_w -regular set U containing one of the points but not the other for each pair of distinct points x, y in X .

Definition 2.10 [1]: The space X is S_w -compact if every S_w -open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Definition 2.11: A space X is called S^* -regular [3] (resp., semi-regular [10]) if for each a in X and any semi-regular (resp., semi-closed) set A in X such that $a \notin A$, there exist disjoint open (resp., semi-open) sets L and K in X such that $a \in L$ and $A \subseteq K$.

Theorem 2.12 [11]: A space X , is semi-regular if and only if there exists a semi-open set B such that $x \in B \subseteq S_w Cl(B) \subseteq A$ for each point $x \in X$ and each semi-open E containing x .

Definition 2.13 [12]: If there exists an open set F such that $x \in F \subseteq Cl(F) \subseteq E$ for each $x \in X$ and each open set E containing x , then the space X is called regular.

Definition 2.14 [13]: If the closure of each open set in X is open, or if the interior of each closed set in X is closed, a space X is said to be an extremally disconnected.



Definition 2.15 [14]: “A function f from a space X into a space Y is said to be somewhat open function provided that for $E \in \tau$ and $E \neq \emptyset$, there exists a set F which is open in Y such that $F \neq \emptyset$ and $F \subset f(E)$ ”.

Theorem 2.16 [15]: A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is somewhat open if and only if for each $G \subset X$ and $\text{Int}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{Int}(f(G)) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.17[16]: A function f from a space X into a space Y is closed iff ; \forall subset F of X , $\text{Cl}(f(F)) \subset f(\text{Cl}(F))$.

Definition 2.18 [17]: Let f be a function from a space X into a space Y , if $f^{-1}(U)$ is clopen in X for each subset U in Y , f is said to be strongly continuous.

Theorem 2.19 [1]: $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is Sw-irresolute, if it is a surjective continuous function.

Theorem 2.20 [1]: The following statements are equivalent for a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$,

f is Sw-irresolute.

There is an inverse Sw-open set in X ; for every Sw-open set in Y .

There is an inverse Sw-closed set in X for every Sw-closed set in Y .

Sw*- Regular Spaces

Definition 3.1: A topological space (X, τ) is called Sw*- regular, if for every Sw-regular set E and each $a \notin E$ in X , there exist open sets L and K in X that are disjoint; such that; $a \in L$ and $F \subset K$.

It is important to note that all discrete and indiscrete spaces are Sw*- regular spaces. It is also clear from the preceding definition that the class of Sw*- regular spaces is contained in the class of S*-regular spaces., mean that every Sw*- regular is S*- regular, however, as shown in the following example. In general, the reverse is not true.

Ex. 3.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with a topology $\tau_x = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ on X . Then (X, τ_x) is an S*- regular space but not Sw*- regular.

The following theorem is a characterization of an Sw*- regular spaces:

Theorem 3.3: The following propositions are equivalent for a topological space (X, τ) :

1. (X, τ) is an Sw*- regular space.
2. For each $a \in X$ and each Sw-regular set V containing a , \exists an open set U , s.t.
 $a \in U \subset \text{Cl}(U) \subset V$.
3. The intersection of all closed neighborhoods of a Sw-regular set E is E itself.
4. For each non-empty set E ; and each Sw-regular set F of X ; s.t. $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$, \exists an open subset U of X s.t. $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{Cl}(U) \subset F$.
5. For any non-empty subset E and Sw-regular set F of X , s.t. $E \cap F = \emptyset$, there are open sets C and D of X ; that are disjoint s.t. $E \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and $F \subset D$.



Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2)

Let V be an Sw -regular set in X containing a , therefore $a \notin X \setminus V$ and $X \setminus V$

is also an Sw -regular set, then by Sw^* -regularity of X , \exists two disjoint open sets U_1 and U_2 of X s.t. $a \in U_1$ and $X \setminus V \subseteq U_2$. Since U_1 and U_2 are disjoint, then $U_1 \subseteq X \setminus U_2$ and since $X \setminus U_2$ is closed, then $Cl(U_1) \subseteq X \setminus U_2 \subseteq V$. Thus $a \in U_1 \subseteq Cl(U_1) \subseteq V$. This gives (2).

(3) \Rightarrow (2)

Let E be Sw -regular set in X , then $X \setminus E$ be also Sw -regular. By the hypothesis, for all $a \in X \setminus E$, there is a set which is open U_a in X such that $a \in U_a \subseteq Cl(U_a) \subseteq X \setminus E$. Then $\bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} \{a\} \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a \subseteq X \setminus E$, and so $X \setminus E \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a \subseteq X \setminus E$. That is,

$X \setminus E = \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a$. Therefore; $E = \bigcap_{a \in (X \setminus E)} (X \setminus U_a)$, where $X \setminus U_a$ is a closed neighborhood of E . This completes the proof.

(4) \Rightarrow (3)

Let E and F be two non-empty disjoint subsets of X ; such that F is an Sw -regular set. Then, there exists $a \in E \cap F$. Thus $a \notin X \setminus F$ and $X \setminus F$ is an Sw -regular set, so by the hypothesis there exists a closed neighborhood H such that $a \notin H$ and $X \setminus F \subseteq H$, then $X \setminus F \subseteq G \subseteq H$, G is open. Let $X \setminus H = U$, then $a \in U$ where U is open. Hence $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$, and since G is open, then $X \setminus G$ is closed. This implies that $X \setminus H \subseteq X \setminus G \subseteq F$, and so $U \subseteq Cl(U) \subseteq Cl(X \setminus G) = X \setminus G \subseteq F$. That is $U \subseteq Cl(U) \subseteq F$, thus $Cl(U) \subseteq F$. This proves (4).

(5) \Rightarrow (4)

Let E be non-empty subset of X ; and F be an Sw -regular set of X ; s.t. $E \cap F = \emptyset$, therefore; $X \setminus F$ is also an Sw -regular set in X and $E \cap X \setminus F \neq \emptyset$. Using (4) \exists an open subset C of X ; s.t. $E \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and $Cl(C) \subseteq X \setminus F$ and then $F \subseteq X \setminus Cl(C)$. Put $D = X \setminus Cl(C) \subseteq X \setminus C$. Thus D is an open set, s.t. $F \subseteq D$. As a result C, D are open sets with $E \cap C \neq \emptyset, F \subseteq D$ and $D \cap C = \emptyset$.

(1) \Rightarrow (5)

Let $a \notin E$, where E is Sw -regular set of X and let $K = \{a\} \neq \emptyset$. Then by using (5) there exist two open sets C and D of X , such that $K \cap C \neq \emptyset, C \cap D = \emptyset$ and $E \subseteq D$. Therefore $a \in C, E \subseteq D$ and $C \cap D = \emptyset$. That is, X is an Sw^* -regular space.

Theorem 3.4: Disjoint open sets Sw^* -regular space X can separate each disjoint pair consisting of a compact set E and an Sw -regular set F .

Proof: Since X is an Sw^* -regular space with $E \cap F = \emptyset$ in X , then for every $x \in E, x \notin F$, where F is an Sw -regular set. Therefore; \exists disjoint open sets L_x and K_x of X ; s.t. $x \in L_x$ and $F \subseteq K_x$. Obviously, the compact set E is covered by $\{L_x: x \in E\}$. Thus \exists a finite subfamily $\{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ which covers E . As a result, $E \subseteq \bigcup \{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $F \subseteq \bigcap \{K_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Put $L = \bigcup \{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $K = \bigcap \{K_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Since $L \cap K = \emptyset$, then $L \subseteq X \setminus K$ and so $Cl(L) \subseteq Cl(X \setminus K) = X \setminus K$. That is $Cl(L) \cap K = \emptyset$, by the same way $L \cap Cl(K) = \emptyset$, so L and K are separated. Then the needed disjoint open sets are L and K .

Corollary 3.5: Let (X, τ) be an Sw^* -regular space. If E is a compact subset of X , and F is a Sw -regular set that contains E , then \exists an Sw -regular set K , s.t. $E \subseteq K \subseteq Sw-Cl(K) \subseteq F$.

Proof: Since F is an Sw -regular set, so $X \setminus F$ is also Sw -regular; and $E \cap X \setminus F = \emptyset$ in X , where E is compact, then by Theorem 3.4; \exists disjoint open sets L_1 and L_2 of X ; s.t. $E \subseteq L_1$ and $X \setminus F \subseteq L_2$. But $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$, so



$L_1 \subset X \setminus L_2$ and since L_1 is open, so it Sw-open and then by Lemma 2.7 $X \setminus L_2$ is also an Sw-open set, furthermore, $X \setminus L_2$ is a closed set and consequently it is an Sw-closed set, and so $X \setminus L_2 = \text{Sw-CI}(X \setminus L_2)$. That $X \setminus L_2$ is Sw-regular set. Put $K = X \setminus L_2$, then $E \subset L_1 \subset X \setminus L_2 \subset F$. Means that $E \subset K \subset \text{Sw-CI}(K) = \text{Sw-CI}(X \setminus L_2) = X \setminus L_2 \subset F$. Thus $E \subset K \subset \text{Sw-CI}(K) \subset F$. This is the end of the proof.

Corollary 3.6: Let (X, τ) be an Sw*-regular space and let E, F be two disjoint subsets of X , with E being compact and F being a Sw-regular set. Then there exists Sw-regular sets L and K s.t. $E \subset L, F \subset K$ and $L \cap K = \emptyset$.

Proof: By Theorem 3.4; \exists disjoint open sets L and K of X s.t. $E \subset L$ and $F \subset K$. But $L \cap K = \emptyset$, so $L \subset X \setminus K$ and since L is open, so it is Sw-open. Furthermore; $X \setminus K$ is closed, then it is Sw-closed. That is, $\text{CI}(X \setminus K) \neq X$ and since $L \subset X \setminus K$, then $\text{CI}(L) \neq X$. That is, L also is an Sw-closed set. Thus L is an Sw-regular set. By the same way K is also Sw-regular. Thus L and K are the required Sw-regular sets.

In the following theorem we show that Sw*-regular space has not a hereditary property.

Theorem 3.7: If a space X is an Sw*-regular and E be an open subspace of X , then E is Sw Sw*-regular space.

Proof : Suppose that E is an open subspace of the Sw*-regular space X . To demonstrate E 's Sw*-regularity, suppose that G is an Sw-regular set in E and let $a \notin G$; s.t. $a \in E$. Since $G \subset E$, G is Sw-open in E and E is open in X , then by Proposition 2.5, G is an Sw-open set in X , also since $G \subset E \subset X$ and G is Sw-closed, then from Lemma 2.6 G is Sw-closed set in X , such that $a \notin G$, so by Sw*-regularity of X , \exists two disjoint open sets L_a and K_a of X s.t. $a \in L_a$ and $G \subset K_a$. Let $L = L_a \cap E$ and $K = K_a \cap E$, clearly $a \in L$ and $G \subset K$; where L and K are open sets that are disjoint in E . Therefore E is an Sw*-regular space.

The following example shows that the condition of openness on A in Theorem 3.7 is necessarily.

Ex. 3.8 : Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$; with $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. So the space (X, τ) is Sw*-regular, while $A = \{b, c, d\}$ is not Sw*-regular though A is closed. It follows that Sw*-regularity is not a hereditary property.

Recall that a topological space (X, τ) is said to be submaximal [5], if every preopen is open.

Corollary 3.9: Let X be an Sw*-regular submaximal space, then every preopen subspace of X is Sw*-regular.

Proof : Suppose that A is a preopen subspace of X , since X is Submaximal, then A is open and by Theorem 3.7 A is Sw*-regular.

Theorem 3.10: Every Hausdorff Sw-compact space is Sw*-regular.

Proof: Let E be any Sw-regular set containing a point say b in X , so $X \setminus E$ is also Sw-regular set s.t. $b \notin X \setminus E$. But X is a T_2 space, therefore; for every $a \in X \setminus E$, \exists open sets L_a and K_a s.t. $a \in L_a, b \in K_a$ and $L_a \cap K_a = \emptyset$. Obviously $\{L_a: a \in X \setminus E\}$ is a cover of $X \setminus E$ by Sw-open sets of X and since E is Sw-regular, then $N = \{L_a: a \in X \setminus E\} \cup E$ is an Sw-open cover of X , but X is Sw-compact space, then \exists a finite subfamily of N covers X . That is, $X = \bigcup \{L_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\} \cup E$. Therefore $X \setminus E \subset \bigcup \{L_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Let $L = \bigcup \{L_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $K = \bigcap \{K_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Then $b \in K$ and $X \setminus E \subset L$, such that L and K are open sets in X . As a result, the space X is Sw*-regular.



Theorem 3.11: A topological space (X, τ) is regular if it is semi-regular and Sw^* - regular.

Proof: Let L be any open set of X and $a \in L$. But X is semi-regular, so by

Theorem 2.12; \exists a semi-open set M in X s.t. $a \in M \subset sCl(M) \subset L$. But $Sw-Cl(M) \subset sCl(M) \subset Cl(M)$ for any $M \subset X$. So $a \in M \subset Sw-Cl(M) \subset sCl(M) \subset L$, and since M is semi-open, then it is Sw -open. That is, $Sw-Cl(M)$ is Sw -regular set and since X is Sw^* - regular space, thus by Theorem 3.3, \exists an open set E s.t. $a \in E \subset Cl(E) \subset Sw-Cl(M) \subset L$. Thus, $a \in E \subset Cl(E) \subset L$. As a result, X is a regular space.

Proposition 3.12: If a topological space (X, τ) is Sw^* - regular, then it is extremally disconnected.

Proof: Let K be any non-empty open subset of X , so $Cl(K)$ is an Sw -regular set and since X is an Sw^* -regular space, then by Theorem 3.3(2) for each $a \in Cl(K)$, there exists an open set La such that $a \in La \subset Cl(La) \subset Cl(K)$. Thus $Cl(K) = \bigcup \{La : a \in Cl(K)\}$ which it is open. Therefore X is extremally disconnected.

The following theorem give another characterization of an Sw^* - regular space.

Theorem 3.13: Let (X, τ) be any topological space. Then X is Sw^* - regular iff for all Sw -regular set M and a point $p \in X$ such that $p \notin M$, there exist open sets R and S of X such that $p \in R$, $M \subset S$ and $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$.

Proof : Suppose that (X, τ) is an Sw^* - regular space; and $p \notin M$, s.t. M is an Sw -regular set in X , so \exists two disjoint open sets Ro and S such that $p \in Ro$ and $M \subset S$, further $Ro \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$, if not suppose that $Ro \cap Cl(S) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $a \in Ro \cap Cl(S)$, so $a \in Ro$ and $a \in Cl(S)$, then for all open set K of X and $a \in K$, $K \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and since Ro is an open set which containing a , then $Ro \cap S \neq \emptyset$ which is contradiction, thus $Ro \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$ and $Cl(S)$ is an Sw -regular set and since $p \in Ro$, then $p \notin Cl(S)$, again by Sw^* - regular of X , there exist open sets A and B of X such that $p \in A$, $Cl(S) \subset B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, hence $Cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. Put $R = Ro \cap A$, then R is open s.t. $p \in R$, $M \subset S$ and $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = Cl(Ro \cap A) \cap Cl(S) \subset Cl(Ro) \cap Cl(A) \cap Cl(S) \subset Cl(Ro) \cap Cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$.

Conversely; suppose that $p \notin M$, with M is an Sw -regular set in X , so \exists two open sets R and S such that $p \in R$, $M \subset S$ and $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$, means that $R \cap S = \emptyset$. Therefore X is an Sw^* - regular space.

Recalling that a topological space (X, τ) is called a Urysohn[18] if there are neighbours K of a and L of b with $Cl(K) \cap Cl(L) = \emptyset$, whenever $a \neq b$ in X .

Proposition 3.14: A topological space (X, τ) is Urysohn, if it is Sw^* - regular and $Sw-T_2$ space.

Proof: Assume that a, b are any two different points in X , but X is $Sw-T_2$ space, then by Theorem 2.9 there exists Sw -regular set A of X contains a but not b , or contains b but not a , say $a \in A$ and $b \notin A$, so by Theorem 3.13, there exists two open set K and L of X s.t. $b \in K$, $A \subset L$ and $Cl(K) \cap Cl(L) = \emptyset$. That is $a \in A \subset L$, means $a \in L$, $b \in K$ and $Cl(K) \cap Cl(L) = \emptyset$. Therefore X is a Urysohn Space.

Proposition 3.15: If (X, τ) is an Sw^* - regular space, then so is $(X, \tau\alpha)$.

Proof: This follows from Theorem 2.4 and the fact that every open set is α -open.

Theorem 3.16: Let Y be an Sw^* - regular space, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a bijective, continuous, closed and Sw -open function, then X is also an Sw^* - regular space.

Proof : Assume that F is an Sw -regular set in X , $a \in X$ with $a \notin F$, and so there exists $b \in Y$ s.t. $f(a) = b$. But f is an Sw -open function, so $f(F)$ is Sw -open in Y , that is $Int(f(F)) \neq \emptyset$. Also F is Sw -closed, then $Cl(F) \neq X$, but f is closed, then by Theorem 2.17



$Cl(f(F)) \subset f(Cl(F))$. Now $Cl(F) \neq X$, this implies that $f(Cl(F)) \neq f(X) = Y$ and so $Cl(f(F)) \subset f(Cl(F)) \neq Y$. That is $Cl(f(F)) \neq Y$, so $f(F)$ is Sw-closed in Y . So $f(F)$ is Sw-regular set in Y with $b \notin f(F)$, then by Sw*-regularity of Y ; \exists two disjoint open sets L and M of Y s.t. $b \in L$ and $f(F) \subset M$. Then by the continuity of f [19] $f^{-1}(L)$ and $f^{-1}(M)$ are open in X , such that $a = f^{-1}(b) \in f^{-1}(L)$, $F \subset f^{-1}(M)$ and $f^{-1}(L) \cap f^{-1}(M) = \emptyset$, consequently; X is an Sw*-regular space.

Recall that a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be clopen [2] if it is both open and closed.

Corollary 3.17: If f is a bijective continuous and clopen function from a space X into an Sw*-regular space Y , then X is also Sw*-regular.

Proof : This is a direct result of Theorem 3.16 and the fact that each open function is Sw-open

Theorem 3.18: If X Sw*-regular and f is a strongly continuous and open function from a space X onto a space Y . Then Y is also Sw*-regular.

Proof: Let K be an Sw-regular set of Y , and $b \in Y$ s.t. $b \notin K$, but f is a surjective function, so $\exists a \in X$ s.t. $f(a) = b$. In addition to f is strongly continuous function and K is a subset of Y , so $f^{-1}(K)$ is clopen set in X , that is $f^{-1}(K)$ is Sw-regular set in X , where $a \notin f^{-1}(K)$, then by Sw*-regularity of X , \exists two disjoint open sets A and B in X whereas $a \in A$ and $f^{-1}(K) \subset B$, but f is open, so $f(A)$ and $f(B)$ are open sets in Y , s.t. $b \in f(A)$, $F \subset f(B)$ and $f(A) \cap f(B) = \emptyset$. As a result, Y is an Sw*-regular space.

Theorem 3.19: If X is an Sw*-regular space and f is a bijective Sw-irresolute and open function from a space X into space Y , then Y is also Sw*-regular space

Proof : Suppose that F is an Sw-regular set in Y and $b \in Y$ s.t. $b \notin F$, then $\exists a \in X$ s.t. $f(a) = b$, but f is Sw-irresolute, then $f^{-1}(F)$ is an Sw-regular set in X , where

$a \notin f^{-1}(F)$, then by Sw*-regularity of X , \exists two disjoint open sets L and K of X s.t. $a \in L$ and $f^{-1}(F) \subset K$, this implies that $f(a) = b \in f(L)$, $F = f(f^{-1}(F)) \subset f(K)$, where $f(L)$ and $f(K)$ are open sets in Y with $f(L) \cap f(K) = \emptyset$. As a result, Y is an Sw*-regular space.

Corollary 3.20: Let X be an Sw*-regular space. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an open, bijective and continuous function, then Y is also Sw*-regular.

Proof: Follows directly from Theorem 3.19 and Theorem 2.19.

Corollary 3.21: Sw*-regularity is a topological property.

Proof: Follows directly from the concepts of a homeomorphism [12 Theorem 2.4] and Corollary 3.20.2. Preliminaries

Recall some basic definitions and results which will be used in the next section.

Definition 2.1[9]: A subset H of a space X is said to be semi-regular if it is both semi-open and semi-closed.

Definition 2.2 [1]: Let (X, τ) be a topological space, and let $H \subseteq X$, then H together with the empty set is called an Sw-open set if $Int(H) \neq \emptyset$. An Sw-closed set is the complement of a Sw-open set.

Definition 2.3 [1]: If a subset H of a space X is both an Sw-open and an Sw-closed set, then it is called Sw-regular.

Theorem 2.4 [1]: Let (X, τ) be any topological space; then the family of all Sw-open sets in (X, τ) is identical to the family of all Sw-open sets in (X, τ_α) . That is, $SwO(X, \tau) = SwO(X, \tau_\alpha)$.



Proposition 2.5 [1]: If Y is a subspace of a space X , and if H is a subset in Y and H is an Sw-open set in X , then H is Sw-open in Y . If Y is open in X , the converse is also true.

Lemma 2.6 [1]: If $H \subset Y \subset X$, then H is a Sw-closed set in X if H is a proper Sw-closed set of a subspace Y .

Lemma 2.7 [1]: Every super set of an Sw-open set is Sw-open.

Definition 2.8 [1]: If there are two disjoint Sw-open sets U and V of X such that (briefly s.t.) $x \in U$ and $y \in V$, a space (X, τ) is called a Sw-T2 space.

Theorem 2.9 [1]: A topological space X is Sw-T2, iff there is an Sw-regular set U containing one of the points but not the other for each pair of distinct points x, y in X .

Definition 2.10 [1]: The space X is Sw-compact if every Sw-open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Definition 2.11: A space X is called S^* -regular [3] (resp., semi-regular [10]) if for each a in X and any semi-regular (resp., semi-closed) set A in X such that $a \notin A$, there exist disjoint open (resp., semi-open) sets L and K in X such that $a \in L$ and $A \subset K$.

Theorem 2.12 [11]: A space X , is semi-regular if and only if there exists a semi-open set B such that $x \in B \subset \text{Cl}(B) \subset A$ for each point $x \in X$ and each semi-open E containing x .

Definition 2.13 [12]: If there exists an open set F such that $x \in F \subset \text{Cl}(F) \subset E$ for each $x \in X$ and each open set E containing x , then the space X is called regular.

Definition 2.14 [13]: If the closure of each open set in X is open, or if the interior of each closed set in X is closed, a space X is said to be an extremally disconnected.

Definition 2.15 [14]: "A function f from a space X into a space Y is said to be somewhat open function provided that for $E \in \tau$ and $E \neq \emptyset$, there exists a set F which is open in Y such that $F \neq \emptyset$ and $F \subset f(E)$ ".

Theorem 2.16 [15]: A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is somewhat open if and only if for each $G \subset X$ and $\text{Int}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{Int}(f(G)) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.17[16]: A function f from a space X into a space Y is closed iff ; \forall subset F of X , $\text{Cl}(f(F)) \subset f(\text{Cl}(F))$.

Definition 2.18 [17]: Let f be a function from a space X into a space Y , if $f^{-1}(U)$ is clopen in X for each subset U in Y , f is said to be strongly continuous.

Theorem 2.19 [1]: $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is Sw-irresolute, if it is a surjective continuous function.

Theorem 2.20 [1]: The following statements are equivalent for a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$,

f is Sw-irresolute.

There is an inverse Sw-open set in X ; for every Sw-open set in Y .

There is an inverse Sw-closed set in X for every Sw-closed set in Y .

Sw*- Regular Spaces

Definition 3.1: A topological space (X, τ) is called Sw*- regular, if for every Sw-regular set E and each $a \notin E$ in X , there exist open sets L and K in X that are disjoint; such that; $a \in L$ and $E \subset K$.

It is important to note that all discrete and indiscrete spaces are Sw*- regular spaces. It is also clear from the preceding definition that the class of Sw*- regular spaces is contained in the class of S^* -regular spaces.,



mean that every Sw^* -regular is S^* -regular, however, as shown in the following example. In general, the reverse is not true.

Ex. 3.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with a topology $\tau_x = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ on X . Then (X, τ_x) is an S^* -regular space but not Sw^* -regular.

The following theorem is a characterization of an Sw^* -regular spaces:

Theorem 3.3: The following propositions are equivalent for a topological space (X, τ) :

1. (X, τ) is an Sw^* -regular space.
2. For each $a \in X$ and each Sw -regular set V containing a , \exists an open set U , s.t.
 $a \in U \subset Cl(U) \subset V$.
3. The intersection of all closed neighborhoods of a Sw -regular set E is E itself.
4. For each non-empty set E ; and each Sw -regular set F of X ; s.t. $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$, \exists an open subset U of X s.t. $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $Cl(U) \subset F$.
5. For any non-empty subset E and Sw -regular set F of X , s.t. $E \cap F = \emptyset$, there are open sets C and D of X ; that are disjoint s.t. $E \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and $F \subset D$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2)

Let V be an Sw -regular set in X containing a , therefore $a \notin X \setminus V$ and $X \setminus V$

is also an Sw -regular set, then by Sw^* -regularity of X , \exists two disjoint open sets U_1 and U_2 of X s.t. $a \in U_1$ and $X \setminus V \subseteq U_2$. Since U_1 and U_2 are disjoint, then $U_1 \subseteq X \setminus U_2$ and since $X \setminus U_2$ is closed, then $Cl(U_1) \subseteq X \setminus U_2 \subseteq V$. Thus $a \in U_1 \subseteq Cl(U_1) \subseteq V$. This gives (2).

(3) \Rightarrow (2)

Let E be Sw -regular set in X , then $X \setminus E$ be also Sw -regular. By the hypothesis, for all $a \in X \setminus E$, there is a set which is open U_a in X such that $a \in U_a \subset Cl(U_a) \subset X \setminus E$. Then $\bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} \{a\} \subset \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a \subset X \setminus E$, and so $X \setminus E \subset \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a \subset X \setminus E$. That is,

$X \setminus E = \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a$. Therefore; $E = \bigcap_{a \in (X \setminus E)} (X \setminus U_a)$, where $X \setminus U_a$ is a closed neighborhood of E . This completes the proof.

(4) \Rightarrow (3)

Let E and F be two non-empty disjoint subsets of X ; such that F is an Sw -regular set. Then, there exists $a \in E \cap F$. Thus $a \notin X \setminus F$ and $X \setminus F$ is an Sw -regular set, so by the hypothesis there exists a closed neighborhood H such that $a \notin H$ and $X \setminus F \subset H$, then $X \setminus F \subset G \subset H$, G is open. Let $X \setminus H = U$, then $a \in U$ where U is open. Hence $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$, and since G is open, then $X \setminus G$ is closed. This implies that $X \setminus H \subset X \setminus G \subset F$, and so $U \subset Cl(U) \subset Cl(X \setminus G) = X \setminus G \subset F$. That is $U \subset Cl(U) \subset F$, thus $Cl(U) \subset F$. This proves (4).

(5) \Rightarrow (4)



Let E be non-empty subset of X ; and F be an Sw -regular set of X ; s.t. $E \cap F = \emptyset$, therefore; $X \setminus F$ is also an Sw -regular set in X and $E \cap X \setminus F \neq \emptyset$. Using (4) \exists an open subset C of X ; s.t. $E \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and $Cl(C) \subset X \setminus F$ and then $F \subset X \setminus Cl(C)$. Put $D = X \setminus Cl(C) \subset X \setminus C$. Thus D is an open set, s.t. $F \subset D$. As a result C, D are open sets with $E \cap C \neq \emptyset, F \subset D$ and $D \cap C = \emptyset$.

(1) \Rightarrow (5)

Let $a \notin E$, where E is Sw -regular set of X and let $K = \{a\} \neq \emptyset$. Then by using (5) there exist two open sets C and D of X , such that $K \cap C \neq \emptyset, C \cap D = \emptyset$ and $E \subset D$. Therefore $a \in C, E \subset D$ and $C \cap D = \emptyset$. That is, X is an Sw^* - regular space.

Theorem 3.4: Disjoint open sets Sw^* - regular space X can separate each disjoint pair consisting of a compact set E and an Sw -regular set F .

Proof: Since X is an Sw^* - regular space with $E \cap F = \emptyset$ in X , then for every $x \in E, x \notin F$, where F is an Sw -regular set. Therefore; \exists disjoint open sets L_x and K_x of X ; s.t. $x \in L_x$ and $F \subset K_x$. Obviously, the compact set E is covered by $\{L_x: x \in E\}$. Thus \exists a finite subfamily $\{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ which covers E . As a result, $E \subset \bigcup \{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $F \subset \bigcap \{K_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Put $L = \bigcup \{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $K = \bigcap \{K_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Since $L \cap K = \emptyset$, then $L \subset X \setminus K$ and so $Cl(L) \subset Cl(X \setminus K) = X \setminus K$. That is $Cl(L) \cap K = \emptyset$, by the same way $L \cap Cl(K) = \emptyset$, so L and K are separated. Then the needed disjoint open sets are L and K .

Corollary 3.5: Let (X, τ) be an Sw^* - regular space. If E is a compact subset of X , and F is a Sw -regular set that contains E , then \exists an Sw -regular set K , s.t. $E \subset K \subset Sw-Cl(K) \subset F$.

Proof: Since F is an Sw -regular set, so $X \setminus F$ is also Sw -regular; and $E \cap X \setminus F = \emptyset$ in X , where E is compact, then by Theorem 3.4; \exists disjoint open sets L_1 and L_2 of X ; s.t. $E \subset L_1$ and $X \setminus F \subseteq L_2$. But $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$, so $L_1 \subset X \setminus L_2$ and since L_1 is open, so it is Sw -open and then by Lemma 2.7 $X \setminus L_2$ is also an Sw -open set, furthermore, $X \setminus L_2$ is a closed set and consequently it is an Sw -closed set, and so $X \setminus L_2 = Sw-Cl(X \setminus L_2)$. That $X \setminus L_2$ is Sw -regular set. Put $K = X \setminus L_2$, then $E \subset L_1 \subset X \setminus L_2 \subset F$. Means that $E \subset K \subset Sw-Cl(K) = Sw-Cl(X \setminus L_2) = X \setminus L_2 \subset F$. Thus $E \subset K \subset Sw-Cl(K) \subset F$. This is the end of the proof.

Corollary 3.6: Let (X, τ) be an Sw^* - regular space and let E, F be two disjoint subsets of X , with E being compact and F being a Sw -regular set. Then there exists Sw -regular sets L and K s.t. $E \subset L, F \subset K$ and $L \cap K = \emptyset$.

Proof: By Theorem 3.4; \exists disjoint open sets L and K of X s.t. $E \subset L$ and $F \subset K$. But $L \cap K = \emptyset$, so $L \subset X \setminus K$ and since L is open, so it is Sw -open. Furthermore; $X \setminus K$ is closed, then it is Sw -closed. That is, $Cl(X \setminus K) = X \setminus K$ and since $L \subset X \setminus K$, then $Cl(L) \subset X \setminus K$. That is, L also is an Sw -closed set. Thus L is an Sw -regular set. By the same way K is also Sw -regular. Thus L and K are the required Sw -regular sets.

In the following theorem we show that Sw^* - regular space has not a hereditary property.

Theorem 3.7: If a space X is an Sw^* - regular and E be an open subspace of X , then E is $Sw Sw^*$ - regular space.

Proof : Suppose that E is an open subspace of the Sw^* - regular space X . To demonstrate E 's Sw^* - regularity, suppose that G is an Sw -regular set in E and let $a \notin G$; s.t. $a \in E$. Since $G \subset E$, G is Sw -open in E and E is open in X , then by Proposition 2.5, G is an Sw -open set in X , also since $G \subset E \subset X$ and G is Sw -closed, then from Lemma 2.6 G is Sw -closed set in X , such that $a \notin G$, so by Sw^* - regularity of X, \exists two disjoint open sets L_a and K_a of X s.t. $a \in L_a$ and $G \subset K_a$. Let $L = L_a \cap E$ and $K = K_a \cap E$, clearly $a \in L$ and $G \subset K$; where L and K are open sets that are disjoint in E . Therefore E is an Sw^* - regular space.



The following example shows that the condition of openness on A in Theorem 3.7 is necessarily.

Ex. 3.8 : Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$; with $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. So the space (X, τ) is Sw^* -regular, while $A = \{b, c, d\}$ is not Sw^* -regular though A is closed. It follows that Sw^* -regularity is not a hereditary property.

Recall that a topological space (X, τ) is said to be submaximal [5], if every preopen is open.

Corollary 3.9: Let X be an Sw^* -regular submaximal space, then every preopen subspace of X is Sw^* -regular.

Proof : Suppose that A is a preopen subspace of X , since X is Submaximal, then A is open and by Theorem 3.7 A is Sw^* -regular.

Theorem 3.10: Every Hausdorff Sw -compact space is Sw^* -regular.

Proof: Let E be any Sw -regular set containing a point say b in X , so $X \setminus E$ is also Sw -regular set s.t. $b \notin X \setminus E$. But X is a T_2 space, therefore; for every $a \in X \setminus E$, \exists open sets L_a and K_a s.t. $a \in L_a$, $b \in K_a$ and $L_a \cap K_a = \emptyset$. Obviously $\{L_a : a \in X \setminus E\}$ is a cover of $X \setminus E$ by Sw -open sets of X and since E is Sw -regular, then $N = \{L_a : a \in X \setminus E\} \cup E$ is an Sw -open cover of X , but X is Sw -compact space, then \exists a finite subfamily of N covers X . That is, $X = \bigcup \{L_{a_i} : i=1, 2, \dots, m\} \cup E$. Therefore $X \setminus E \subset \bigcup \{L_{a_i} : i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Let $L = \bigcup \{L_{a_i} : i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $K = \bigcap \{K_{a_i} : i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Then $b \in K$ and $X \setminus E \subset L$, such that L and K are open sets in X . As a result, the space X is Sw^* -regular.

Theorem 3.11: A topological space (X, τ) is regular if it is semi-regular and Sw^* -regular.

Proof: Let L be any open set of X and $a \in L$. But X is semi-regular, so by

Theorem 2.12; \exists a semi-open set M in X s.t. $a \in M \subset sCl(M) \subset L$. But $Sw-Cl(M) \subset sCl(M) \subset Cl(M)$ for any $M \subset X$. So $a \in M \subset Sw-Cl(M) \subset sCl(M) \subset L$, and since M is semi-open, then it is Sw -open. That is, $Sw-Cl(M)$ is Sw -regular set and since X is Sw^* -regular space, thus by Theorem 3.3, \exists an open set E s.t. $a \in E \subset Cl(E) \subset Sw-Cl(M) \subset L$. Thus, $a \in E \subset Cl(E) \subset L$. As a result, X is a regular space.

Proposition 3.12: If a topological space (X, τ) is Sw^* -regular, then it is extremally disconnected.

Proof: Let K be any non-empty open subset of X , so $Cl(K)$ is an Sw -regular set and since X is an Sw^* -regular space, then by Theorem 3.3(2) for each $a \in Cl(K)$, there exists an open set L_a such that $a \in L_a \subset Cl(L_a) \subset Cl(K)$. Thus $Cl(K) = \bigcup \{L_a : a \in Cl(K)\}$ which it is open. Therefore X is extremally disconnected.

The following theorem give another characterization of an Sw^* -regular space.

Theorem 3.13: Let (X, τ) be any topological space. Then X is Sw^* -regular iff for all Sw -regular set M and a point $p \in X$ such that $p \notin M$, there exist open sets R and S of X such that $p \in R$, $M \subset S$ and $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$.

Proof : Suppose that (X, τ) is an Sw^* -regular space; and $p \notin M$, s.t. M is an Sw -regular set in X , so \exists two disjoint open sets R_0 and S such that $p \in R_0$ and $M \subset S$, further $R_0 \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$, if not suppose that $R_0 \cap Cl(S) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $a \in R_0 \cap Cl(S)$, so $a \in R_0$ and $a \in Cl(S)$, then for all open set K of X and $a \in K$, $K \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and since R_0 is an open set which containing a , then $R_0 \cap S \neq \emptyset$ which is contradiction, thus $R_0 \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$ and $Cl(S)$ is an Sw -regular set and since $p \in R_0$, then $p \notin Cl(S)$, again by Sw^* -regular of X , there exist open sets A and B of X such that $p \in A$, $Cl(S) \subset B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, hence $Cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. Put $R = R_0 \cap A$, then R is open s.t. $p \in R$, $M \subset S$ and $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = Cl(R_0 \cap A) \cap Cl(S) \subset Cl(R_0) \cap Cl(A) \cap Cl(S) \subset Cl(R_0) \cap Cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$.



Conversely; suppose that $p \notin M$, with M is an Sw-regular set in X , so \exists two open sets R and S such that $p \in R$, $M \subset S$ and $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$, means that $R \cap S = \emptyset$. Therefore X is an Sw*- regular space.

Recalling that a topological space (X, τ) is called a Urysohn [18] if there are neighbours K of a and L of b with $Cl(K) \cap Cl(L) = \emptyset$, whenever $a \neq b$ in X .

Proposition 3.14: A topological space (X, τ) is Urysohn, if it is Sw*- regular and Sw-T2 space.

Proof: Assume that a, b are any two different points in X , but X is Sw-T2 space, then by Theorem 2.9 there exists Sw-regular set A of X contains a but not b , or contains b but not a , say $a \in A$ and $b \notin A$, so by Theorem 3.13, there exists two open set K and L of X s.t. $b \in K$, $A \subset L$ and $Cl(K) \cap Cl(L) = \emptyset$. That is $a \in A \subset L$, means $a \in L$, $b \in K$ and $Cl(K) \cap Cl(L) = \emptyset$. Therefore X is a Urysohn Space.

Proposition 3.15: If (X, τ) is an Sw*- regular space, then so is (X, τ_α) .

Proof: This follows from Theorem 2.4 and the fact that every open set is α -open.

Theorem 3.16: Let Y be an Sw*- regular space, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a bijective, continuous, closed and Sw-open function, then X is also an Sw*- regular space.

Proof: Assume that F is an Sw-regular set in X , $a \in X$ with $a \notin F$, and so there exists $b \in Y$ s.t. $f(a) = b$. But f is an Sw-open function, so $f(F)$ is Sw-open in Y , that is $Int(f(F)) \neq \emptyset$. Also F is Sw-closed, then $Cl(F) \neq X$, but f is closed, then by Theorem 2.17

$Cl(f(F)) \subset f(Cl(F))$. Now $Cl(F) \neq X$, this implies that $f(Cl(F)) \neq f(X) = Y$ and so $Cl(f(F)) \subset f(Cl(F)) \neq Y$. That is $Cl(f(F)) \neq Y$, so $f(F)$ is Sw-closed in Y . So $f(F)$ is Sw-regular set in Y with $b \notin f(F)$, then by Sw*- regularity of Y ; \exists two disjoint open sets L and M of Y s.t. $b \in L$ and $f(F) \subset M$. Then by the continuity of f [19] $f^{-1}(L)$ and $f^{-1}(M)$ are open in X , such that $a = f^{-1}(b) \in f^{-1}(L)$, $F \subset f^{-1}(M)$ and $f^{-1}(L) \cap f^{-1}(M) = \emptyset$, consequently; X is an Sw*- regular space.

Recall that a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be clopen [2] if it is both open and closed.

Corollary 3.17: If f is a bijective continuous and clopen function from a space X into an Sw*- regular space Y , then X is also Sw*- regular.

Proof: This is a direct result of Theorem 3.16 and the fact that each open function is Sw-open

Theorem 3.18: If X Sw*- regular and f is a strongly continuous and open function from a space X onto a space Y . Then Y is also Sw*- regular.

Proof: Let K be an Sw-regular set of Y , and $b \in Y$ s.t. $b \notin K$, but f is a surjective function, so $\exists a \in X$ s.t. $f(a) = b$. In addition to f is strongly continuous function and K is a subset of Y , so $f^{-1}(K)$ is clopen set in X , that is $f^{-1}(K)$ is Sw-regular set in X , where $a \notin f^{-1}(K)$, then by Sw*- regularity of X , \exists two disjoint open sets A and B in X whereas $a \in A$ and $f^{-1}(K) \subset B$, but f is open, so $f(A)$ and $f(B)$ are open sets in Y , s.t. $b \in f(A)$, $F \subset f(B)$ and $f(A) \cap f(B) = \emptyset$. As a result, Y is an Sw*- regular space.

Theorem 3.19: If X is an Sw*- regular space and f is a bijective Sw-irresolute and open function from a space X into space Y , then Y is also Sw*- regular space

Proof: Suppose that F is an Sw-regular set in Y and $b \in Y$ s.t. $b \notin F$, then $\exists a \in X$ s.t. $f(a) = b$, but f is Sw-irresolute, then $f^{-1}(F)$ is an Sw-regular set in X , where

$a \notin f^{-1}(F)$, then by Sw*- regularity of X , \exists two disjoint open sets L and K of X s.t. $a \in L$ and $f^{-1}(F) \subset K$, this implies that $f(a) = b \in f(L)$, $F = f(f^{-1}(F)) \subset f(K)$, where $f(L)$ and $f(K)$ are open sets in Y with $f(L) \cap f(K) = \emptyset$. As a result, Y is an Sw*- regular space.



Corollary 3.20: Let X be an Sw^* -regular space. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an open, bijective and continuous function, then Y is also Sw^* -regular.

Proof: Follows directly from Theorem 3.19 and Theorem 2.19.

Corollary 3.21: Sw^* -regularity is a topological property.

Proof: Follows directly from the concepts of a homeomorphism [12 Theorem 2.4] and Corollary 3.20.

Conflict of interests.

There are non-conflicts of interest.

References

1. L. S. Abdullah and A. B. Khalaf, "An Extension of Semi-Open Sets with its Applications on Spaces and Functions", Ph.D. dissertation, University of Sulaimaniya, Sulaimani, Iraq, 2009.
2. M. Khan , "Weak forms of continuity, compactness and connectedness", Ph. D. dissertation, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan, 1997.
3. S. Hussain and B. Ahmad, "On γ - s^* -regular spaces and almost γ - s -continuous functions", Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 263-268, 2009.
4. N. Levine, "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces", Amer. Math. Monthly, vol. 70, pp. 36-41, 1963.
5. J. Dontchev , "Survey on preopen sets", ArXiv: Math., vol. 1, pp. 1-18, 1998.
6. J. H. Park, "Almost p -normal, mildly p -normal spaces and some functions", Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol.18, Issue 2, pp. 267-274, October 2003.
7. O. Njastad , "On some classes of nearly open sets", Pacific J. Math., vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 961-970, 1965.
8. H. Z. Ibrahim , "Bc-Open Sets in Topological Spaces", Advances in Pure Mathematics, vol. 3, pp. 34-40, January 2013.
9. A. Sabaha , M. Din Khanb and L. Kocinac, "Covering properties defined by semi-open sets", J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. , pp. 1-10, Feb. 2016.
10. J. Dontchev and M. Ganster , "On covering spaces with semi- regular sets*", Ricerche di Matematica, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 229-245, 1996.
11. G. D. Maio and T. Noiri , "On s -closed spaces", India J. Pure Appl. Math., vol. 18, pp. 226-233, 1987.
12. J. N. Sharma, Topology. Krishna Prakashna Mandir, 1977.
13. M. Mirmiran, "A survey on extremally disconnected spaces", Math. Dept., Isfahan Univ., Iran, pp. 1-3, 2000.
14. K.Rekha and T.Indira, "Somewhat $*b$ -continuous and Somewhat $*b$ -open Functions in Topological spaces ",Intern. J. Fuzzy Mathematical, vol. 2, pp. 17-25, July 2013.
15. C. W. Baker , "Weak forms of openness based upon denseness", Tr. J. of Mathematics, no. 20, pp. 389-394, 1996.
16. N. S. Noorie and R. Bala, "Some Characterizations of Open, Closed, and Continuous Mappings", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2008, pp. 1-5, January 2008.



17. R. S. Wali, B. B. Mathad and N. Laxmi, “ Some Semi-Regular Weakly Continuous Functions in Topological Spaces”, International Journal of Advanced Research (IJAR), vol. 5, no. 7 , pp. 2261-2270, July 2017.
18. V. Popa , "Characterizations of H-almost continuous functions", Glas. Mat. Ser., vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 157-161, 1987.
19. Sidney A. Morris, Topology Without Tears, version of June 28, 2020.:pp.87–89.

مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم البحتة والتطبيقية

ISSN: 2312-8135 | Print ISSN: 1992-0652

info@journalofbabylon.com | jub@itnet.uobabylon.edu.iq | www.journalofbabylon.com